

1 Mark R. Thierman NV#8285
2 **THIERMAN LAW FIRM, PC**
3 7287 Lakeside Drive
4 Reno, Nevada 89511
5 Tel: (775) 284-1500
6 Fax: (775) 703-5027
7 E-mail: laborlawyer@pacbell.net

8 Matthew J. Piers
9 mpiers@hsplegal.com
10 José Jorge Behar
11 jbehar@hsplegal.com
12 Christopher J. Wilmes
13 cwilmes@hsplegal.com
14 Caryn C. Lederer
15 clederer@hsplegal.com

HUGHES SOCOL PIERS RESNICK & DYM, LTD.

16 70 W. Madison Street, Suite 4000
17 Chicago, IL 60602
18 Tel: (312) 580-0100
19 Fax: (312) 580-1994

20 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*

21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

22 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

23 VÍCTOR RIVERA RIVERA, ERNESTO) CASE NO. 3:11-CV-118-RCJ-VPC

24 SEBASTIAN CASTILLO RIOS, VICENTE) **ORDER OF JUDGMENT**

CORNEJO LUGO, JESÚS GARCÍA MATA,)

LUIS ÁNGEL GARCÍA MATA,)

GAUDENCIO GARCÍA RÍOS, SIMÓN)

GARCÍA RÍOS, VICENTE CORNEJO CRUZ,)

EMILIO MONTOYA MORALES, JORGE)

LUIS AGUILAR SOLANO, DOMINGO)

RAMOS RIOS, ARTEMIO RINCÓN CRUZ,)

SERGIO RIOS RAMOS, PEDRO RIVERA)

CAMACHO, GERARDO RIOS RAMOS,)

REGULÓ RINCON CRUZ, AURELIANO)

MONTES MONTES, MANUEL RIVERA)

RIVERA, JOSE BALDERAS GUERRERO,)

VIRGILIO MARQUEZ LARA, MARTIN)

FLORES BRAVO, individually and on behalf)

of all other persons similarly situated,)

25 Plaintiffs,)

26 vs.)

27 PERI & SONS FARMS, INC.,)

28 Defendant.)

1. On July 27, 2011, the Court dismissed Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint but granted Plaintiffs' leave to amend a Third Amended Complaint.

2. On August 11, 2011, the Plaintiffs filed a Notice of Intent Not to Amend Plaintiffs' Second Amended Class Action Complaint.

3. In that pleading, Plaintiffs notified the Court that they intend to stand upon the sufficiency of the allegations in their Second Amended Complaint and asked the Court to enter final judgment in Defendant's favor.

4. In light of the Plaintiffs' recent filing, the Court hereby dismisses Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and enters final judgment in Defendant's favor.

5. The September 1, 2011 Case Management Conference is vacated.

6. This matter is closed.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE,
DATED: 09-08-2011